



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/700,342

11/03/2003

Bobby Jose

5281

38356

7590

10/31/2008

BROOKS, CAMERON & HUEBSCH, PLLC

1221 NICOLLET AVENUE, SUITE 500

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403

EXAMINER

HO, CHUONG T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2419

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,342	JOSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHUONG T. HO	2419	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CHUONG T. HO. (3) ____.
- (2) Edward J. Brook III and Christopher R Ambrose. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 09/26/08, I telephoned Christopher R Ambrose. Mr. Christopher R Ambrose said he rehire Mr Edward J Brook to work on the application. On 09/30/08, I telephoned Mr. Edward J Brook. Mr. Edward J. Brook said he is not receive message from Mr. Christopher R Ambrose to continue to work on the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edan Orgad/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419